

Equivariant Cohomology and Representations of the Symmetric Group

Michael Atiyah

1 Introduction

In a recent paper [1] I have shown how to construct a continuous map

$$f : C_n(R^3) \rightarrow U(n)/T^n$$

from the configuration space of n ordered distinct points of R^3 to the flag manifold of $U(n)$ which is compatible with the natural action of the symmetric group Σ_n on both spaces. I also noted in [1] that the action of Σ_n on the rational cohomology of either space coincides with the regular representation but that the homomorphism f^* induced by f cannot possibly be an isomorphism. In fact the Poincaré polynomials of the two spaces are quite different.

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(C_n(R^3)) &= (1+t^2)(1+2t^2)\dots(1+(n-1)t^2) \\ P_t(U(n)/T^n) &= (1+t^2)(1+t^2+t^4)\dots(1+t^2+t^4+\dots+t^{2n-2}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

although, for $t = 1$, both yield $n!$. This shows that the various components of the regular representation acquire quite different gradings in the two cases and f^* can only pair off some of these components.

The significance of the map f for the comparison of the cohomology of the two spaces appears therefore to be disappointingly limited. However the map f of [1] has a further naturality property which we have so far not exploited, namely that it is also compatible with the natural action of the rotation group $SO(3)$ on $P(C^n)$. We can therefore consider the $SO(3)$ -equivariant cohomology of both spaces and the homomorphism induced by f^* in this context. As we shall see the situation is now totally different and f^* becomes much more interesting.

Let us first recall that for any compact Lie group G and any G -space X we define the G -equivariant cohomology $H_G^*(X)$ to be the ordinary cohomology of the space X_G which is the fibering over the classifying space BG with fibre X . It follows that $H_G^*(X)$ is a module over the ring

$$H_G^*(\text{point}) = H^*(BG)$$

(we can take any ring of coefficients, for example the rationals Q). For $G = SO(3)$ and coefficients Q , $H_G^*(\text{point})$ is a polynomial ring in one generator u of degree 4 (the Pontrjagin class).

The main result of this paper is then

Theorem 1. The homomorphism

$$f^* : H_{SO(3)}^*(U(n)/T^n, Q) \rightarrow H_{SO(3)}^*(C_n(R^3), Q)$$

is injective and its cokernel is annihilated by a power of u .

This theorem can be put into more concrete form. First we shall see that both sides are free modules over $Q[u]$ of rank $n!$, and that they have canonical bases. This identifies them both with

the regular representation of Σ_n over $Q[u]$. Thus f^* can be explicitly represented by a matrix $A(u)$ of polynomials. Theorem 1 asserts that $\det A(u) \neq 0$.

For $u = 0$, we will have $\det A(0) = 0$, so that $A(0)$ is a singular matrix. In fact $A(0)$ represents the induced homomorphism f^* in ordinary cohomology, which pairs off cohomology in both spaces in the same dimension. On the other hand the coefficient of some other power u^k will give a homomorphism between the ordinary cohomology group which **lowers dimension** by $4k$. Thus, by using all these dimension-lowering operators, f^* effectively matches up all the cohomology on both sides (as Σ_n -modules).

Consider, for example, the case $n = 3$, so that our Poincaré polynomials are

$$P_t(C_3(R^3)) = (1 + t^2)(1 + 2t^2) = 1 + 3t^2 + 2t^4$$

$$P_t(U(3)/T^3) = (1 + t^2)(1 + t^2 + t^4) = 1 + 2t^2 + 2t^4 + t^6$$

To match these up we see that we must have an operation that lowers degree by 4 which matches up t^6 in the flag manifold with one of the t^2 terms in the configuration space. This means that our matrix $A(u)$ will look like

$$A(u) = A_0 + A_1 u$$

with A_0 being the singular matrix (of rank 5) describing ordinary cohomology and A_1 being the shift operator disposing of the extra term in dimension 6.

More generally, for any n , we can compare the two Poincaré series given in (1.1). Since they both involve a factor $(1 + t^2)$ and they agree for $t^2 = 1$ it follows that their difference

$$\phi(t) = (1 + t^2)(1 + 2t^2) \dots (1 + (n-1)t^2) - (1 + t^2)(1 + t^2 + t^4) \dots (1 + t^2 + \dots + t^{2(n-1)})$$

is divisible by $(1 + t^2)(1 - t^2) = (1 - t^4)$, so that $\phi(t) = (1 - t^4)\psi(t)$ with $\psi(t)$ a polynomial. This is consistent with Theorem 1. In fact, if we use the natural total grading of equivariant cohomology, then $\psi(t) = \frac{\phi(t)}{1 - t^4}$ is the difference of the Poincaré series of the two free modules and by Theorem 1 this must be the Poincaré polynomial of the cokernel of f^* . Notice that $\psi(t)$ has non-negative coefficients.

There are a number of variants of Theorem 1 which may be useful. We can replace $SO(3)$ by its maximal torus $SO(2)$ or we can lift to $\text{Spin}(3)$. We can also work with integer cohomology, although we may have problems with torsion at certain stages. More interestingly we can replace cohomology by K -theory. In this case our coefficient ring for equivariant K -theory will be a ring of Laurent polynomials. We shall examine this case carefully in §4.

The map f of [1], or a variant of it, can be generalised so that $U(n)/T^n$ gets replaced by G/T for any compact simple Lie group and Σ_n gets replaced by the Weyl group. This follows from results of Bielawski [4] and will be explained elsewhere. We can then extend the results of this paper to this more general case.

The interest of the whole story lies in the dimension shifting operators that enter in matching up representations of the Weyl group. This is reminiscent of what happens in the construction of the Springer representation using the theory of perverse sheaves. The use of equivariant K -theory also resembles some of the work of Lusztig [6] in this area. These ideas might merit further exploration.

I should also point out that, although I have focused on the flag manifold G/T , there are parallel results for the other homogeneous spaces that occur as adjoint orbits of G . I shall discuss this (for $G = U(n)$) in §3.

The proof of Theorem 1 is in fact quite routine and will be explained in the next section. Once the idea has occurred that equivariant cohomology might be interesting the proof presents no difficulties.

2 The fixed-point formula

We shall first review some basic facts concerning equivariant cohomology (see [2] or [5] for a detailed exposition) and for simplicity we shall concentrate on the case where the group is the circle, which we shall denote by S . Then, for any G -space X , $H_S^*(X)$ is a module over

$$\Lambda = H_S^*(pt) = H^*(BS) = H^*(CP_\infty)$$

which is a polynomial ring with one generator t of dimension 2 (the ground ring can be Z or Q , but we shall focus on Q).

Let $F \subset X$ be the subspace of fixed points of S , then the “localisation theorem” asserts that

$$H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H_S^*(F) \text{ is an isomorphism modulo modules annihilated by some power of } t. \quad (2.1)$$

Here some mild assumptions need to be imposed on X , which are certainly satisfied in our cases when X is a compact manifold (or the complement of a finite member of closed submanifolds in a compact manifold).

The proof of (2.1) follows from a general spectral sequence argument, but it can also be done more simply by a Meyer-Vietoris argument. The key point is that, if F is empty, so that all orbits of S in X are 1-dimensional, then **over** Q , $H_S^*(X) \cong H^*(X/S)$ is finite-dimensional and hence annihilated by t^m provided $2m > \dim(X/S)$.

Now since S acts trivially on F we have

$$H_S^*(F) \cong H^*(F) \otimes_Q \Lambda \quad (2.2)$$

so that $H_S^*(F)$ is the free Λ -module generated by a basis of $H^*(F)$.

Suppose in addition that X has **no odd dimensional cohomology**, then the spectral sequence of the fibration $X_S \rightarrow BS$ shows that $H_S^*(X)$ is the free Λ -module generated by any lift of a basis of $H^*(X)$ to $H_S^*(X)$.

We shall now apply these general facts in our special situation. First we take $X = C_n(R^3)$ and we take $S = SO(2) \subset SO(3)$ to be given by rotation about a preferred axis in R^3 . Since X has no odd-dimensional cohomology [1] it follows that $H_S^*(X)$ is a free Λ -module. We shall return later to consider how to construct an explicit basis by lifting generators of $H^*(X)$. The fixed points of the action of S are just given by

$$F = C_n(R^1) \subset C_n(R^3)$$

where R^1 is the axis of the rotation. But a point in F is just a permutation of an increasing sequence

$$x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$$

so that F consists of $n!$ contractible components indexed by Σ_n . In fact F can be identified with the regular points in the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of $U(n)$, the components being the Weyl chambers (this aspect generalises to other Lie groups G instead of $U(n)$). Hence $H_S^*(F)$ is a free Λ -module of rank $n!$. **It can be viewed as the regular representation of Σ_n over Λ .**

Next we take $X = U(n)/T^n$. Since S acts irreducibly on the projective space $P(C^n)$ it has just n fixed points in this space (the eigenvectors) and hence the only fixed flags are those got by permuting the eigenvectors. Hence there are $n!$ fixed points, indexed by Σ_n . So if we denote by F' the fixed set of S in $U(n)/T^n$ we see that $H_S^*(F')$ is again, in a natural way, the regular representation of Σ_n over Λ .

The map $f : C_n(R^3) \rightarrow U(n)/T^n$ of [1], being compatible with S must map F to F' and since it is also compatible with Σ_n it identifies the components of F with the points of F' . The induced map f^* on equivariant cohomology

$$H_S^*(F') \rightarrow H_S^*(F)$$

is thus the standard identification of these two copies of the regular representation of Σ_n over Λ .

Finally, since $U(n)/T^n$ has no odd-dimensional cohomology, $H_S^*(U(n)/T^n)$ is a free Λ -module generated by a lift of a basis of $H^*(U(n)/T^n)$.

Consider now the commutative diagram induced by f .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_S^*(U(n)/T^n) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H_S^*(C_n(R^3)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_S^*(F') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_S^*(F) \end{array}.$$

By (2.1) the vertical arrows are isomorphisms modulo t -torsion. Since all Λ modules in this diagram are free it follows that the vertical arrows and f^* **are injective with a t -torsion cokernel**.

This proves the analogue of Theorem 1 with $SO(3)$ replaced by $S = SO(2)$. But, over Q , the $SO(3)$ -equivariant cohomology is just the subspace of S -equivariant cohomology invariant under $t \rightarrow -t$ (with $u = t^2$). Then Theorem 1 follows from the case we have proved. Essentially this means that the matrix $A(t)$ describing f^* has no odd powers of t , and so we can put $t^2 = u$.

Note that Theorem 1, involving $SO(3)$, does not involve breaking the symmetry. In the proof we picked an axis and worked with $SO(2)$, but this was for convenience only. If we had worked with $SO(3)$ directly then fixed points would have been replaced by 2-dimensional orbits.

Now as promised we will discuss the question of lifting generators. Actually we are not interested in individual generators, but in a generating subspace. Thus if $H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective we want to find a natural right inverse $H^*(X) \rightarrow H_S^*(X)$. When a group like Σ_n acts on both sides we want to choose this right inverse to be compatible with the action of Σ_n . Once this has been done we will get a natural isomorphism

$$H^*(X) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow H_S^*(X)$$

compatible with Σ_n .

One way to pick such a right inverse is if there are invariant metrics. We then pick the orthogonal complement to the kernel.

We begin by considering the case $X = U(n)/T^n$ with the full action of $U(n)$ (not just the subgroup S) we then have the fibration

$$BT^n \rightarrow BU(n)$$

and the cohomology of the total space is the polynomial algebra in n variables $t_1, \dots, t_n$. On this (in each degree) we can pick a Σ_n -invariant metric (e.g. the natural metric induced by a metric on C^n). Taking the orthogonal complement then defines a natural right inverse of the restriction

$$H^*(B_{T^n}) \rightarrow H^*(U(n)/T^n)$$

i.e. of the homomorphism

$$H_{U(n)}^*(U(n)/T^n) \rightarrow H^*(U(n)/T^n).$$

This then gives a lift for all subgroups of $U(n)$ and so in particular for $SO(3)$ or its maximal torus S . Since Σ_n acts just by permuting $t_1, \dots, t_n$ it preserves the invariant metric and hence our chosen lift.

Consider next the case $X = C_n(R^3)$ and introduce the space

$$Y = \prod_{i,j} Y_{ij}$$

where each Y_{ij} is a copy of the S^2 of directions in R^3 . Then we have maps

$$\alpha_{ij} : X \rightarrow Y_{ij}$$

by taking the direction of the line (x_i, x_j) where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X = C_n(R^3)$. Putting these together we get

$$\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$$

which is compatible with the natural actions of Σ_n and $SO(3)$ on both sides. For each 2-sphere Y_{ij} the tangent $SO(2)$ -bundle L is an S -bundle whose Chern class $c_1(L)$ is twice the generator $y_{ij} \in H^2(Y, Z)$. Thus $\frac{1}{2}c_1(L)$ is an S -equivariant class which lifts y_{ij} . This procedure gives us a natural lift for each

$$y_{ij} \in H^2(Y).$$

Now we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_S^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad \dashrightarrow \quad} & H^*(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_S^*(X) & \rightarrow & H^*(X) \end{array}$$

in which the dotted line is the lift we have just described. To get a lift for X we note that $H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective [1] so we only need to find a natural orthogonal to the kernel. This will give a lift from $H^*(X)$ to $H^*(Y)$ and then we follow with the lift to $H_S^*(Y)$ and project back to $H_S^*(X)$. Elementary diagram chasing shows that this gives what we want. To find the orthogonal complement in $H^*(Y)$ we need a natural metric but this is easy since

$$H^*(Y) = \bigotimes_{i,j} H^*(Y_{ij})$$

and each factor can be given a natural metric (since $Y_{ij} = S^2$). Since no arbitrary choices were involved and since all indices $1, \dots, n$ were treated on an equal footing it follows that our lift is compatible with the action of Σ_n .

Putting all this together we see finally that, as indicated in §1, Theorem 1 leads to a canonical homomorphism

$$A(u) : H^*(U(n)/T^n) \rightarrow H^*(C_n(R^3)) \otimes Q[u]$$

where

$$A(u) = A_0 + A_1 u + A_2 u^2 + \dots$$

is a polynomial in u whose coefficients are homomorphisms

$$A_k : H^*(U(n)/T^n) \rightarrow H^*(C_n(R^3))$$

which lower degree by $4k$, and commute with the action of Σ_n . For $k = 0$, A_0 is just the original homomorphism f^* , the interest lies in the higher A_k .

3 Grassmannians

Theorem 1 can be generalised when we replace the flag manifold by a Grassmannian and modify our configuration space accordingly. We proceed as follows.

First we define a new configuration space $C_{r,s}(R^3)$. This parametrizes two **unordered** sets of points of R^3 $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_s)$ where $x_i \neq y_j$ for any i, j . However we allow the x_i to coincide among themselves and similarly the y_j . Clearly we have a natural map

$$C_n(R^3) \rightarrow C_{r,s}(R^3) \quad n = r + s$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_r$ are the first r points of a configuration in $C_n(R^3)$.

We now look carefully at the map

$$f_n : C_n(R^3) \rightarrow U(n)/T^n$$

constructed in [1] to see what happens when two of the points of the configuration come together. Suppose $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$. The key part of the construction in [1] is that we define points $t_{ij} \in S^2$ and then polynomials p_i whose roots are the t_{ij} (for $j \neq i$). As $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$ the roots t_{12} and t_{21} cease to be well-defined in the limit. However all other t_{ij} are well-defined. Thus the individual polynomials p_1 and p_2 are ill-defined but **the linear space spanned by them remains well-defined**: it consists of all polynomials of degree $n-1$ which have t_{1j} ($j \neq 1, 2$) as $n-2$ of their roots.

Similar remarks apply if several points coincide, so that by continuity we can associate to any configuration $(x, y) \in C_{r,s}(R^3)$ two linear subspaces C^r and C^s of C^n . Again by continuity (and the properties of f_n) it follows that C^r and C^s span C^n . The orthogonalisation process used in [1], depending on the polar decomposition, then gives us a map

$$f_{r,s} : C_{r,s}(R^3) \rightarrow U(n)/U(r) \times U(s) \quad n = r + s$$

and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n(R^3) & \xrightarrow{f_n} & U(n)/T^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{r,s}(R^3) & \xrightarrow{f_{r,s}} & U(n)/U(r) \times U(s) \end{array}$$

all of which is compatible with the action of $SO(3)$.

We now consider the induced homomorphism $f_{r,s}^*$ in $SO(3)$ -equivariant cohomology. First we restrict to $S = SO(2) \subset SO(3)$ and consider the fixed point sets in the two spaces.

For $C_{r,s}(R^3)$ the fixed point set consists of $C_{r,s}(R^1)$. Since we ignore the orderings of the x_i and of the y_j there are

$$\frac{n!}{r!s!} \text{ components}$$

Each component is contractible (since each x_i can move in the interval between the nearest y_j and vice-versa).

For the Grassmannian the fixed points are finite in number and they are just the images of the $n!$ fixed points in the flag manifold under projection which acts by factoring out $\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_s$, giving

$$\frac{n!}{r!s!} \text{ fixed points.}$$

From the diagram it is easy to see that $f_{r,s}$ sends each component of $C_{r,s}(R^1)$ to a different fixed point. Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we then get.

Theorem 2. The map $f_{r,s} : C_{r,s}(R^3) \rightarrow U(n)/U(r) \times U(s)$ induces a homomorphism

$$f_{r,s}^* : H_{SO(3)}^*(U(n)/U(r) \times U(s), Q) \rightarrow H_{SO(3)}^*(C_{r,s}(R^3), Q)$$

which is injective and has cokernel annihilated by a power of u .

It is clear that Theorem 2 can be further generalised to any other homogeneous space of $U(n)$ which occurs as an adjoint orbit. These are all quotients by subgroups of the form

$$U(r_1) \times U(r_2) \times \dots \times U(r_t) \quad \Sigma r_i = n.$$

Moreover if any of the r_i are equal there will be a small symmetric group permuting these blocks and the corresponding map from the generalised configuration space will commute with this. Theorem 1 is the special case where all $r_i = 1$.

Note that if $r = 1$, $s = n-1$ then $C_{1,n-1}(R^3)$ has the homotopy type of the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ symmetric product of S^2 , i.e. the complex projective space P_{n-1} and $f_{1,n-1}^*$ in Theorem 2 is then obviously an isomorphism

4 K-Theory

We shall essentially repeat the arguments of §2 with K -theory replacing cohomology. Thus we want to consider the homomorphism in K_S^* induced by our map f :

$$f^* : K_S^*(U(n)/T^n) \rightarrow K_S^*(C_n(R^3))$$

where as before $S = SO(2)$ is given by rotation about a preferred axis in R^3 (and S acts on $U(n)/T^n$ through the principal $SU(2)$ subgroup).

First we want to show that for both spaces $K_S^1 = 0$ and that K_S^0 is a free $R[S]$ -module of rank $n!$. Note that our ground ring is now the representation ring of the circle

$$R[S] = Z[q, q^{-1}].$$

For brevity we denote this by A .

Consider first the flag manifold

$$X = U(n)/T^n$$

and recall that, as a complex manifold (depending on an ordering of $1, \dots, n$), it has a decomposition into even dimensional cells (indexed by Σ_n). These (Bruhat) cells are the orbits of a Borel subgroup $B \subset GL(n, C)$ (the upper triangular matrices). In particular they are preserved by the maximal torus T^n of $U(n)$ and hence by the circle $S \subset T^n$. Moreover each cell contains just one fixed point of S . The union of cells of dimension $\leq 2p$ gives a closed subspace $X_p \subset X$ and a standard induction argument using the X_p enables one to calculate the cohomology or the K -theory of X . Since S preserves the X_p the same arguments yield the calculation of $K_S^*(X)$ showing that $K_S^1(X) = 0$ and that $K_S^0(X)$ is a free A -module of rank $n!$.

Using some algebraic geometry one can even get a natural basis indexed by the cells, i.e. by Σ_n . The closure of each cell is an algebraic subvariety and its sheaf (via a resolution) determines an element of the K -group (the algebraic and topological K -groups of the flag manifold coincide). Since everything is invariant under T^n and hence under S we get a basis of K_S of the flag manifold. Note however that this basis is definitely not compatible with the action Σ_n (since it depended on an ordering).

For $X = C_n(R^3)$ we have no such convenient cell decomposition so we proceed differently. Recall that S has $n!$ contractible fixed components (indexed by Σ_n) and all other orbits are actually free. It follows from general localisation theory for equivariant K -theory [7] that $K_S^*(X)$ can only have A -torsion at the identity, i.e. annihilated by a power of $(q-1)$. On the other hand a general theorem on completion of equivariant K -theory [3] asserts that

$$K_G^*(X)^\wedge \cong K(X_G^*)$$

where $\wedge$ denotes completion at the identity, i.e. in the $I(G)$ -adic topology. For $G = S$ this means the $(q-1)$ -adic topology. Since this does not kill torsion at the identity any torsion in $K_S^*(X)$ would persist to $K^*(X_S)$, but X_S is the fibration over CP_∞ with fibre X and, just as in §2, a spectral sequence argument (together with the vanishing of all odd cohomology of X) then shows that $K^1(X_S) = 0$ and $K^*(X_S)$ is a free $\hat{A}$ -module. This shows that there could not have been any A -torsion in $K_S^0(X)$ and that $K_S^1(X) = 0$.

Note that this argument would not have worked if there were 1-dimensional orbits with non-trivial isotropy group. We might then have had torsion supported at points other than $q = 1$ and this would not have been detected in the completion. Such orbits actually occur for the action on the flag manifold even though, as our other argument shows, there is no torsion. However such orbits will affect the torsion statement in Theorem 3 as we shall see.

Just as in §2 we now consider the restriction to the fixed point sets of S in the two spaces. The localisation theorems for K_S^* [7] tell us that the restrictions are isomorphisms modulo A -torsion. Hence, as before, we conclude

Theorem 3. The homomorphism

$$f^* : K_S^*(U(n)/T^n) \rightarrow K_S^*(C_n(R^3))$$

is injective and its cokernel is a torsion module over the ring $R[S] = Z[q, q^{-1}]$. Here $S = SO(2)$.

Remarks

1. We can be more precise about the torsion module. The localisation theorem tells us that its support is contained in the set of all q which are m^{th} roots of unity, where m runs over the orders of the finite isotropy groups of S acting on $U(n)/T^n$. These are just the values $m \leq n - 1$.
2. With a bit more work it is also possible to formulate Theorem 3 with S replaced by $SO(3)$ or $SO(2)$.
3. Theorem 3 also generalises to other Lie groups as will be shown on a later occasion.
4. The homomorphism f^* of Theorem 3 is of course a ring homomorphism not just an A -module homomorphism. The ring structures of both sides can be explicitly described and f^* can then be described in these terms. Similar remarks apply to Theorems 1 and 2 and I hope to follow these up elsewhere. My thanks are due to William Graham for interesting me in this aspect.

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, The Geometry of Classical Particles, Asian J. of Maths (to appear).
- [2] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The moment map and equivariant cohomology, Topology 23 (1984) 1-28.
- [3] M.F. Atiyah and G.B. Segal, Equivariant K -theory and completion, J. Diff. Geom. 3 (1969) 1-18.
- [4] R. Bielawski, Monopoles and the Gibbons-Manton metric, Commun. Math. Phys. 194 (1998), 297-321.
- [5] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory, Springer (2000).
- [6] G. Lusztig, Equivariant K -theory and representations of Hecke algebras, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 94 (1985) 337-342.
- [7] G.B. Segal, Equivariant K -theory, Publ. Math. IHES 34 (1968) 129-151

Department of Mathematics & Statistics,
University of Edinburgh,
James Clerk Maxwell Buildings,
King's Buildings,
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ.